



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,998	11/26/2003	Hirotsugu Okura	65933-053	6542
	7590 06/13/2007 , WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street, N.W.			BOKHARI, SYED M	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPÉR

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

, 4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,998	OKURA, HIROTSUGU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Syed Bokhari	2609
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		101111
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 N</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,11 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4,6-10, 12, 13, 15 and 16 is/are ob 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. jected to. r election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :01/10/2007, 12/08/2005 and 11/26/2003.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a-d) as follows:

The applicant has not provided a certified English translation.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 because of the following informalities:

For claim 8 line 6, the occurrence of "a packet processing" refers back to "a packet processing" previously cited in lines 10-11 of claim 5, if it is true, it is suggested to applicant to change "a packet processing" to --the packet processing--.

For claim 10 line 2, the occurrence of "an individual program" should be changed to --the individual program--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/721,998 Page 3

Art Unit: 2609

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. (US 2005/0074022 A1) and in view of Fritsch (US 2002/0124258 A1).

For claim 1, Kato et al. discloses a packet transmission apparatus comprising (see paragraph 0155 lines 1-3 page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention); an extraction unit which extracts information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal (see 0157 lines 9-12 and paragraph 0159 lines 1-4 page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention); which indicates a location where an individual program for performing a specific process on the stream is stored (see paragraph 0156 lines 8-13 on page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention); a download unit which downloads the individual program from the location (see paragraph 0156 lines 1-13 on page 10 in Best Mode for carrying out the Invention) and an execution unit which executes the individual program by incorporating the individual program into a packet processing on the stream (see paragraph 0161 lines 1-7 page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention). Kato et al. discloses all the subject mater of the claimed invention with the

Application/Control Number: 10/721,998

Art Unit: 2609

exception of a storage, which stores the downloaded individual program. Fritsch from the same or similar field of endeavor teaches of a storage, which stores the downloaded individual program (see paragraph 0034 lines 1-14 page 3 in Detailed Description of the Invention). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use of the same storage which stores the downloaded individual program as taught by Fritsch in the communication system of Kato et al. A storage, which stores the downloaded individual program as taught by Fritsch can be modified/implemented in the communication arrangement of Kato et al. by adding the buffer/storage unit in the transmitting unit of data transmitting apparatus. The buffer unit will store the down load programs after the packetization process in the transmit unit before sending to terminal. The motivation for adding a buffer unit in the transmitting unit is to store the downloaded individual programs that can be retrieved and delivered to the terminals on requests received from the users.

For claim 11, Kato et al. discloses a program obtainment method comprising: extracting information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal (see paragraph 0159 lines 1-4 page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention); which indicates a location where an individual program for performing a specific process on the stream is stored (see paragraph 0156 lines 8-13 on page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention); and performing a packet processing continuously by incorporating the individual program into the packet processing once the download of the individual program is completed (see paragraph 0161

Art Unit: 2609

lines 1-7 page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention). Kato et al. discloses all the subject mater of the claimed invention with the exception of performing a normal packet transmission processing on the stream while the individual program is being downloaded from the location. Fritsch from the same or similar field of endeavor teaches of performing a normal packet transmission processing on the stream while the individual program is being downloaded from the location (see paragraph 0012 lines 1-9 on page 1 in Summary of the Invention). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use of the method of performing a normal packet transmission processing on the stream while the individual program is being downloaded from the location as taught by Fritsch in the communication system of Kato et al. The method of performing a normal packet transmission processing on the stream while the individual program is being downloaded from the location as taught by Fritsch can be modified/implemented in the communication arrangement of Kato et al. by incorporating the method enhancing the function of the transmitting unit of data transmitting apparatus. The enhanced functionality will enable the transmitting unit of downloading the data into the buffer while performing the packet transmission process. The motivation of incorporating the enhanced functionality in the transmitting unit of data transmitting apparatus is to implement the method of downloading the data to the buffer while performing packet transmission process in the transmit unit.

6. Claim 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. (US 2005/0074022) in view of Adams (USP 6,378,130) and in view of Fritsch (US 2002/0124258 A1).

For claim 5, Kato et al. discloses a packet transmission equipment (see paragraph 0155 lines 1-3 on page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention); a download unit which searches and downloads an individual program suitable for the characteristic (see paragraph 0160 lines 1-7 on page 10 in Best Mode for carrying out the Invention); an execution unit which executes the individual program by incorporating the individual program into a packet processing on the stream (see paragraph 0161 lines 1-7 page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention). Kato et al. discloses all the subject mater of the claimed invention with the exception of the two limitations (i) an extraction unit which extracts information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data and (ii) a storage which stores the downloaded individual program. For claim limitation (i), Adams from the same or similar field of endeavor teaches of an extraction unit, which extracts information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data (see column 11 lines 13-18 in Summary of the Invention). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use of the same extraction unit, which extracts information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data as taught by Adams in the communication system of Kato et al. The

Art Unit: 2609

enhancement of programming of extraction unit in Reorganizing Unit for extracting information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data as taught by Fritsch can be modified/implemented in the communication arrangement of Kato et al. by enhancing the programming of Extraction Unit. The extraction unit will check whether there is any program tag in the received packet. If there is a program tag, the extraction unit will extract information on the storing location from the tag. The motivation of enhancing the programming of extraction unit is to extract information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data. For claim limitation (ii), Fritsch from the same or similar field of endeavor teaches of a storage, which stores the downloaded individual program (see paragraph 0034 lines 1-14 page 3 in Detailed Description of the Invention). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use of the same storage which stores the downloaded individual program as taught by Fritsch in the communication system of Kato et al. A storage, which stores the downloaded individual program as taught by Fritsch can be modified/implemented in the communication arrangement of Kato et al. by adding the buffer/storage unit in the transmitting unit of data transmitting apparatus. The buffer unit will store the down load programs after the packetization process in the transmit unit before sending to terminal. The motivation for adding a buffer unit in the transmitting unit is to store

Art Unit: 2609

the downloaded individual programs that can be retrieved and delivered to the terminals on requests received from the users.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. (US 2005/0074022) in view of Adams (USP 6,378,130).

For claim 14, Kato et al. discloses performing a normal packet transmission processing on the stream while the individual program suitable for the characteristic is being downloaded (see paragraph 0156 lines 1-13 page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention) and performing a packet processing continuously by incorporating the individual program into the packet processing once the download of the individual program is completed (see paragraph 0161 lines 1-7 page 10 in Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention) and. Kato et al. discloses all the subject mater of the claimed invention with the exception of a program obtainment method comprising: extracting information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data. Adams from the same or similar field of endeavor teaches of the method of extracting information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data (see column 11 lines 13-18 in Summary of the Invention). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use of the same method of extracting information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data as taught by Adams in the communication

Application/Control Number: 10/721,998 Page 9

Art Unit: 2609

system of Kato et al. The enhancement of programming of extraction unit in Reorganizing Unit for extracting information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data as taught by Fritsch can be modified/implemented in the communication arrangement of Kato et al. by enhancing the programming of extraction unit. The method will include of checking program tag in the received packet and extracting of program tag information on the storing location from the tag by extraction unit. The motivation of enhancing the programming of extraction unit is to extract information from a stream packet to be sent to a terminal, which indicates a characteristic of the stream data.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2-4, 6-10, 21-13 and 15-16 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,998 Page 10

Art Unit: 2609

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USP 6,529,515 B1 (Raz et al.), USP 5,886,693 (Ho et al.), USP 6,498,795 B1 (Zhang et al.), USP 6,167,253 (Farris et al.), USP 6,256,668 B1 (Slivka et al.), and USP 5,499,347 (Kuwabara et al.).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Bokhari whose telephone number is (571) 270-3115. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday though Friday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dang Ton can be reached on (571) 272-3171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DANG T. TON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Ton